

Namely surrogacy.

The Netherlands goes so far that even a single man who wants a child can rely on a surrogate mother.

We have special agencies for that that bring parties together..."

□ "And if an adolescent is in a male body, and he has the feeling that a female body suits him better, then he should simply be able to transition.

□ And if you want to form a multi-parent family together. A child with 2 fathers and a mother, for example. No problem, it should be possible. "

Everything must be possible.

Just criticize it and make cautious comments?

For example, a question like: what does growing up in a multi-parent family do to the child?

Or; Standing up for the right to life of an embryo or fetus

That is not allowed again.

That is the great paradox of the everything-must-be-possible society.

Everything is allowed, but criticizing it is not allowed.

If you do, then you are ultra-Orthodox.

You moralize.

In fact, you 'discriminate'.

And they bring in the State to convict you.

Someone who understood better than anyone else the world we live in and the direction it would take was deceased Koos van den Berg, Member of Parliament for the SGP in the years 1986 - 2002.

When discussing the Equal Treatment Act in 1993, he said:

'People and organizations who, without wanting to discriminate in the slightest, want to give shape to Biblical norms based on their honest conviction, also in social life, are put in the bad smell of discrimination by this proposal and will at most manner of exception be tolerated. '

End quote.

He was of course referring to the consequences of that law.

But we're seeing exactly the same thing happening now.

Mrs. Rasanen is in the middle of it.

She too is exposed to the bad smell of discrimination, while she gives shape to Biblical standards with the best intentions.

It becomes more and more clear:

In the everything-must-be-possible society there is no room for an open Bible.

At most for a slimmed-down version, from which all passages that conflict with progressive-liberal views have been deleted.

By now you may be thinking: isn't this all a bit exaggerated?

We still have a lot of freedom!

We have our own Christian schools.

3

Own Christian parties.

If we want to go to church on Sunday, there is no police officer at the door to stop us.

And of course that is true.

We still have a lot to be grateful for.

Yet I fear that the space to voice an unadulterated pro-life position and a pro-family position on Biblical grounds is becoming increasingly smaller.

What do I base that on?

By looking around and seeing what agenda is being rolled out.

I predict to you: if the progressive-liberals win again in the European elections on June 6, we will be in for a scary time.

What does that agenda look like?

Well, it is an agenda aimed at silencing as much as possible all who are pro-family and pro-life.

The D66 delegation in the European Parliament recently took the initiative to write a letter to the President of Parliament, with an urgent request to ban all pro-life organizations from the buildings of the European Parliament.

So that is D66's agenda. De agenda van de progressief-liberalen.

They present themselves as hypertolerant, but Christian dissent must be effectively silenced.

Also look at what's going on in Scotland at the moment?

A new law against hate speech has been passed there.

That sounds sympathetic at first hearing.

After all, we are all against hate speech.

However, there is a serious catch.

This law goes so far that the intention of an expression no longer matters.

The simple fact that someone feels offended is enough to put someone behind bars.

You can sense: this is going very far.

And certainly causes great unrest among Christians.

May we still say: with the best intentions, that a marriage is a union between a man and a woman.

What if someone finds expressing such a position insulting?

Will we end up in prison for several years?

The European Commission has presented a similar bill.

The Commission also wants a hate speech law.

And then for the whole of Europe.

Great alertness is also required here.

Because anyone who looks at the substantiation of the proposal will see that this proposal is, among other things, part of the gender equality strategy and strategy for equality of LGBTIQ people.

When I see that, all signals turn red for me.

Because what does a ban on hate speech mean in this context?

Here too the question is: what if I make a passionate plea for classical marriage and family and reject gay marriage?

Or reject the entire gender ideology?

Will I soon be taken to court as a hate monger?

By analogy with what is now happening to Mrs Rasanen in Finland?

Everything indicates that that is indeed the intention behind this proposal.

What are we to do:

1) First of all, do everything we can to prevent the European hate speech law.

A. because criminal law is a matter for the Member States: Europe should not interfere with that.

B. because this law is very ideological in nature and puts serious pressure on the space for a Biblical voice to be heard.

I therefore call on the Dutch government to veto this law.

2) Don't add water to wine.

After all, here lies a great temptation for Christian politicians.

Namely, for the sake of peace and to avoid problems: let's start talking plainly.

We shouldn't!

After all, we end up on a sliding scale.

The Lord asks of us steadfastness.

Willingness to row against the current.

3) Let's do everything we can to stop the progressive-liberal agenda.

You can contribute to this by going to the polls faithfully on June 6.

The progressive-liberal camp in Parliament is concerned.

There are fears that the Conservatives in Parliament will win the elections.

I am pleased that they are concerned.

Let us hope and pray that after June 6 there will be a healthy correction to the continued pursuit of a society that can do everything.

The Bible shows us a better way.

Not a one-size-fits-all society.

But a society where it's all about loving God above all else and loving our neighbor as ourselves.

That is a society where boundaries are set, but those boundaries are there for a reason.

They serve for protection and shelter.

And they are not difficult.

1 John 5:3 For this is the love of God, that we keep His commandments; and His commandments are not grievous.

## Speech Bert-Jan Ruissen – Member of European Parliament SGP

**It is a great honor to welcome you here in the Netherlands.**

**You have had to defend yourself against accusations of discrimination for five years.**

**Due to:**

- **posting a simple Bible verse on Twitter.**
- **conveying a clear Biblical voice.**

**And you are still not given any rest.**

**After all, the prosecutor's appeal was recently accepted by the Finnish Supreme Court.**

**Today we are gathered here to show that we stand squarely behind you.**

**This meeting is first and foremost a gathering of solidarity.**

**We feel closely connected to you.**

**What you are defending in this protracted legal battle is irredeemable!**

**Namely the space to continue to express a clear Biblical message in the public debate.**

**Even if it is at odds with the general feeling in society.**

**We do not claim that space for ourselves.**

**We ask for that space, based on the deepest conviction that our society cannot do without this message.**

**It is relevant for everyone.**

**I think of Ecclesiastes 12:13. "From all that has been heard the end of the matter is: Fear God and keep His commandments, for this is the duty of all men."**

*(continue in Dutch)*

Dear Friends,

The lawsuit against Ms. Rasanen is not an isolated case.

We cannot see this separately from a broader development that is gripping the whole of Europe.

A development that has been going on for years.

I am referring to the storm of secularization that is sweeping across Europe.

A storm that has knocked an important part of society loose from its moral anchors.

And (under the guise of progress) it has led us into particularly dangerous waters.

Namely the waters of an everything-must-be-possible society.

That ideology says, for example:

□ "If a gay couple wants children, then that should be possible.

This cannot be achieved naturally through natural means.

But we now have a solution for that.